Dr. D. Raghavendra Rao.—Please see the statement appended. (*Appendix VIII.*)

Visit of Lieutenant Colonel Malcomson.

416. Mr. George Chakyamuri.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether Lieutenant Colonel Malcomson was got down at the expenses of the State in last October or November and if so when and for what purpose ;

(b) what is the total amount spent in connection with the same ?

Mr. T. S. Sesha Ayyar.—(a) Lieutenant Colonel Malcomson visited Trippunittura at the invitation of His Highness the Maharaja on Friday the 16th October 1931 and stayed for about 2 days.

(b) When he was there, he was treated as a State Guest and the expenses in that connection amounted to Rs. 69—0—10.

Transfer of suits—Vadakkancheri Munsiff's Court.

417. Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Will the Government be pleased to place a statement on the table showing the suit numbers in which transfers had been applied for from the Vadakkancheri District Munsiff's Court in 1106 and 1107, the orders passed on those petitions by the Chief Court, the allegations made for seeking transfer in those petitions.

Mr. T. S. Sesha Ayyar.—A statement is appended (*Adpendix IX*)

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Are all the objections sent by the same Vakil?

Mr. T. S. Sesha Ayyar.—Notice.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar—Were the Government satisfied that these allegations were not true?

Mr. T. S. Sesha Ayyar.—Government did not consider at all. It is an order of the Chief Court.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Did the Government make any enquiries ?

Mr. T. S. Sesha Ayyar.—No.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar—Why not ?

Mr. T. S. Sesha Ayyar.—It is an order passed by the Chief Court in a judicial proceedings. Government have nothing to do with it.

Appointment of Depressed Classes.

418. മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. പി. വെള്ളോൻ.—(1) ഈഴ്വാർ അയ്യാക്കുതക്ക് വേ
ണ്ടി സംവരിച്ചിട്ടുള്ള സംവരിയ്ക്കപ്പെട്ടതായ കൊല്ലനികളിലെ മാനേജർ ഇട

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

APPENDIX IX.

(Vide answer to question No. 417.)

Chief Court No.	Lower Court Suit No.	Grounds for the transfer	Chief Court Order
		Year 1106.	
C. M. P. 101 of 06	O. S. 247 of 06	To be tried along with O. S. 124 of 05, Trichur District Court, being connected Suit.	Allowed
Do 111 of 06	,, 520 of 05	To be tried along with M. P. 395 of 06, Trichur District Court. The parties being the same.	Dismissed
Do 131 of 06	,, 189 of 06	Before taking the full evidence in the case the District Munsiff went to the spot and inspected the same at the request of the 9th defendant in the case. So the petitioner alleges that he will not get full justice in the case if the same Munsiff were to try the case and he also wants to examine the Munsiff himself as a witness. Hence request for the transfer.	Allowed
Do 143 of 06	,, 325 of 06	The petitioner alleges that the District Munsiff has personal knowledge of the matter involved in the case and that a relative of the Munsiff is conducting the case for the plaintiff and that he has seen the plaintiff and the Munsiff talking about the case, etc. Hence requests for the transfer.	do
Do 165 of 06	,, 527 of 06	To be tried along with O. S. 98 of 06 on the file of the Trichur District Court as the parties and the matters involved in the case are one and the same.	do

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

APPENDIX IX (*cont*)

Chief Court No.	Lover Court Suit No.	Grounds for the transfer	Chief Court Order
		Year 1107.	
C. M. P. 49 of 07	O. S. 614 of 06 " 420 of 06 " 692 of 06	To be tried along with O. S. 7 of 07 on the file of the Trichur District Court as the decision in the case will be a decision in these cases also. The matter involved in all the cases being almost the same.	Allowed.
Do 55 of 07		The plaintiff in the case is a standing client of Mr. P. Raman Menon, who is the brother-in-law of the Munsiff and Vakil Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan also knows the facts of the case. Both of these are friends of the Munsiff and that Mr. Kumaran Ezhuthassan told the petitioner that the Munsiff has asked him to compromise the suit etc. Hence the prayer.	do
Do 92 of 07	" 153 of 06	The petitioner is a Chief Court Vakil (Mr. Kochu Kunhan Kaimal). He alleges that he will not get full justice in the case if the case were to be tried by the Munsiff as the Munsiff has some personal grudge, etc., against him. Hence the prayer.	No necessity to transfer now as the Munsiff has since then been transferred. Rejected
Do 104 of 07	" 116 of 07	The petitioner's Vakil in the case worked against the wishes of the Munsiff in the Legislative Council Election and that the Munsiff and the Vakil are not on good terms and that the Munsiff has shown it in open Court in the examination of witnesses, siding with the plaintiff and rejecting the questions asked by the defendant's Vakil, etc.	do

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

APPENDIX IX (*cont*)

Chief Court No.	Lower Court Suit No.	Grounds for the transfer	Chief Court Order
C. M. P. 105 of 07	O. S. 51 of 07	The same allegations as above	No necessity to transfer now as the Munsiff has since then been transferred. Rejected
Do 112 of 07	,, 121 of 07	do	do
Do 113 of 07	,, 106 of 07	do	do